

TERRIA HOLCOMB, as Personal
Representative of the ESTATE OF
DARIUS L.J. HOLCOMB,

Plaintiff,

v.

SPARTANBURG COUNTY,
SPARTANBURG COUNTY SHERIFF'S
OFFICE, OFFICER WESLEY E.
BENNETT both individually and as a
deputy of the SPARTANBURG COUNTY
SHERIFF'S OFFICE, and CHUCK
WRIGHT, in his capacity as the Sheriff of
the SPARTANBURG COUNTY
SHERIFF'S OFFICE,

Defendants.

A. State the full name, address, and telephone number of all persons or legal entities who may have a subrogation interest in each claim and state the basis and extent of that interest.

B. As to each claim, state whether it should be tried by jury or nonjury and why.

C. State whether the party submitting these responses is a publicly-owned company and separately identify: (1) any parent corporation and any publicly-held corporation owning ten percent (10%) or more of the party's stock; (2) each publicly-owned company of which it is a parent; and (3) each publicly-owned company in which the party owns ten percent (10%) or more of the outstanding shares.

ANSWER: Plaintiff is an individual representing a decedent's estate and is not a publicly owned company.

D. State the basis for asserting the claim in the division in which it was filed (or the basis of any challenge to the appropriateness of the division). *See* Local Civ. Rule 3.01 (D.S.C.).

ANSWER: Venue is proper in this division under Local Civil Rule 3.01(A)(1) as a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the Plaintiffs' claims occurred within Spartanburg County, South Carolina.

E. Is this action related in whole or in part to any other matter filed in this District, whether civil or criminal? If so, provide: (1) a short caption and the full case number of the related action; (2) an explanation of how the matters are related; and (3) a statement of the status of the related action. Counsel should disclose any cases which *may be* related regardless of whether they are still pending. Whether cases *are* related such that they should be assigned to a single judge will be determined by the clerk of court based on a determination of whether the cases arise from the same or identical transactions, happenings, or events; involve the identical parties or property; or for any other reason would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.

ANSWER: This matter is not related to any other matter filed in this District.

Respectfully submitted,

COLIN RAM LAW, LLC

/s/ Colin V. Ram

Colin V. Ram (Fed. Bar No.: 12958)
941 Houston Northcutt Blvd., Suite 203
Mount Pleasant, SC 29464
colin@sctrial.com
(843) 278-7000

Attorney for the Plaintiff

May 28, 2024
Mount Pleasant, South Carolina